

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,501	08/07/2003	Kazuhiro Kosugi	240770US0DIV	1416
	590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.Ć. 1940 DUKE STREET			TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	50.				
Office Action Summers	10/635,501	KOSUGI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed  s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>22-40</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>41-50</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 (=4==== = = = = ======================	TO 440;					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pat		52)				
S Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 41, 42, 43, 45, 49, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagashima et al (6,127,492).
- 3. Claims 41-50 are written so that the there is no lower limit on the amount of graft copolymer. The recitation: "at most 40 wt%" includes zero.
- 4. Nagashima et al. teach compositions comprising 1-1- parts conductive carbon black and polycarbonate. The films are used to produce a carrying tray sued to hold electronic parts (column 1, lines 15+). The examiner notes that bisphenol A is an aromatic polycarbonate.
- 5. Regarding claim 43, polypropylene is an additional resin (column 6, lines 35).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 41-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagashima et al. (6,127,492).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,501

Art Unit: 1773

8. The general structure of the materials taught by Nagashima et al. is taught above.

9. Regarding claims 43, Nagashima et al teach that polyester resin may be added (column 6,

line 36), but are silent regarding the specific polyester used. The applicants claim polyethylene

terephthalate (PET), which is the most common type of polyester used for structural applications.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used PET as the

polyester in the films taught by Nagashima et al. since this is an easily obtained common

polyester material. There also does not appear to be any criticality to the type of polyester used.

10. Regarding claim 44, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

have used any of the forms of carbon black claimed since they are all easily obtained commercial

sources for carbon black. Furthermore, the applicants use commercially available materials.

# Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 9-28-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants have overcome the rejections of claims 22-50 based on double patenting. Claims 22-40 are allowed.

12. The applicants amended claim 41, to remove the optional language. However, since the range in the claim is open-ended, the claim does not require any particular amount of graft copolymer. Claims 41-50 are written so that the there is no lower limit on the amount of graft copolymer. The recitation: "at most 40 wt%" includes zero.

Art Unit: 1773

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (571)-272-1515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,501

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

Monday, December 13, 2004